1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
	NOTE A NOTE A		
$\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$	NGHIA NGUYEN,  Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:23-CV-5102-TL-DWC	
12	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND	
13	NANCY FERNELIUS, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. Before the Court is		
16	Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File a Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary		
17	Judgment. Dkt. 56. Plaintiff requests an additional two months to prepare and file a response in		
18	opposition to summary judgment. Dkt. 56. In a declaration submitted in support of this request,		
19	Plaintiff explains that English is not his first language, so he relies on the assistance of others to		
20			
21			
22			
23 24	for an extension without a response from Defendants. If Defendants oppose an extension, they		

read, research, and prepare matters in this case. Dkt. 57. He believes an extension until December 16, 2024, will provide him sufficient time to prepare and file a response. *Id.* Upon review, the Court concludes Plaintiff has shown good cause and grants the Motion for Extension of Time to File Response. Dkt. 56. The new deadline for Plaintiff to file a response in opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is December 9, 2024. Defendants may file a reply in support of their Motion not later than December 16, 2024. The Clerk of Court is directed to renote the Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 47) for consideration on December 16, 2024. Dated this 16th day of October, 2024. David W. Christel United States Magistrate Judge